

Old School Value														
The 2009 Forbes Best Small 200 Companies Revisited. Click here for original article with valuations.														
Start valuing stocks with the OSV stock valuation spreadsheets, 7 financial models, completely unlocked with bonus spreadsheets.														
Ticker	% Price Change	Price in 2009	Current Price	2011 / 2009 Price	Added Price	Price Diff %	Low Value	Mid Value	High Value	Low Sale%	Mid Sale%	Price (1,2,3)	Biz (1,2,3)	Personal Notes
x	ORN	-3.38%	\$18.19	\$5.71	0.31								3	inconsistent FCF
	BRLI	0.08%	\$39.80	\$12.77	0.32		\$14.00	\$19.00	\$22.00	8.8%	32.8%		2	very good financials. All margins consistent for 10 years. But what is the growth driver? No moat business. Looks fairly priced at this level. Good CROIC, ROA, ROE.
x	BVX	4.65%	\$6.53	\$2.25	0.34								3	small medical device company. FCF shows history of losses. Immediate pass. previous holding. Selling for less than book value. Company cannot make more than cost of capital to grow. Operations were flat but now it has produced losses. Big family ownership but the trend of the industry makes BMM a value trap. Intrinsic value will come down to meet price.
x	BAMM	-7.84%	\$6.72	\$2.35	0.35	\$2.60	-9.6%						3	For profit education company. Much the same as CPLA, STRA. Margins are fairly more stable than the others. Is TTM figure reliable or will it be affected even more? Past 2 yr CROIC above 15%. Doesn't look sustainable based on history.
x	LINC	-3.42%	\$20.98	\$7.35	0.35	\$8.57	-14.2%	\$17.00	\$22.55	\$28.00	56.8%	67.4%	3	retailer of urban fashion. Pretty sure there are better competitors as investments
x	AFAM	-1.50%	\$37.67	\$14.43	0.38	\$18.86	-23.5%	\$36.36	\$42.26	\$49.51	60.3%	65.9%	3	Recently hit by Obama healthcare. good FCF, reduced debt in past 2 years. Very strong recent 5 year growth.
x	ERII	0.00%	\$6.35	\$2.50	0.39								3	short history. BIG drop in margins. Strong balance sheet though (how was the balance sheet financed? Equity dilution?) Very low CROIC. Not worth it.
	DGIT	-4.86%	\$34.44	\$13.69	0.40	\$20.16	-32.1%	\$28.00	\$30.00	\$44.00	51.1%	54.4%	2	good FCF, increasing CROIC in the past year and operations all around. Company looks to have turned around since 2009. Current price is close to no growth value. Can the company earn better returns than the cost of capital? P/FCF is 6-7 which is cheap.
	CPLA	-1.28%	\$81.62	\$33.09	0.41	\$32.00	3.4%	\$54.37	\$65.49	\$70.00	39.1%	49.5%	3	dependent on favorable budgets from government for education sector. Is CPLA one of those affected? Buying back stock, no LT debt, strong balance sheet, increasing FCF without much divergence from owner earnings is good signs. EPV = 2x Repr. Moat. Check the moat checklist.
	LHCG	-4.19%	\$32.51	\$13.71	0.42								2	home healthcare company. Like AFAM. Good numbers but dependent on government whims.
	NCIT	-4.32%	\$26.83	\$11.53	0.43								3	NCI, Inc. (NCI) is a provider of information technology (IT), engineering, logistics, and professional services and solutions to Federal Government agencies. Stay away from anything that is too connected to government. Their spending is based on budgets. Decreasing margins, big jump in TTM debt.
x	STRA	-0.07%	\$217.13	\$96.12	0.44	\$87.82	9.5%	\$90.00	\$100.00	\$127.00	0.0%	3.9%	3	Much like CPLA. Has fallen ALOT since 2009 when it looked too overvalued. How much of STRA is dependent on government funding? Cash converting MACHINE. >30% CROIC. Moat of EPV/Repr = 100/30 = 3
x	PETS	-2.13%	\$19.66	\$9.18	0.47	\$10.00	-8.2%	\$8.99	\$11.25	\$13.52	0.0%	18.4%	3	Pet pharmacy. VERY strong balance sheet but latest year seeing difficult results. buying back a lot of shares and paying 5% dividend. Margins decreasing for 4 years and will be 5th year of decline = eroding moat. EBIT will likely be 12%
x	AACC	-3.05%	\$5.70	\$2.86	0.50								3	classified as financial company.
x	NTRI	2.74%	\$20.70	\$11.62	0.56								3	Gross margins have been consistent but net margins in decline as well as FCF. No moat biz and a discretionary item. Could be a turnaround company but not counting on it. If business keeps declining, fair value looks to be at about \$10.
x	WWE	-2.92%	\$16.34	\$9.31	0.57								3	Excluded from Windows 8 caused 30-40% drop. Everything else is good. Management has a big stake, numbers are excellent, growth is slowing because of drops in PC sales, but other audio channels such as content boxes are available. Conservative estimates put DLB at the lower range of valuation at current prices. If windows 8 drop was overdone, fair price should be \$40.
	DLB	-0.65%	\$53.12	\$30.50	0.57	\$31.89	-4.4%	\$32.04	\$40.00	\$50.00	4.8%	23.8%	2	issues debt and equity financing to get money. Thin margins in contracting and consulting business. MRQ shows big drop in cash as well. Nothing entirely impressive. Mediocore company.
x	STRL	-3.72%	\$19.46	\$11.40	0.59								3	telecomm network company. high fixed capex, big increases in debt, big drop in margins. large acquisition leading to high intangibles or is it from spectrum holdings?
x	SHEN	-3.07%	\$17.74	\$10.41	0.59								3	
x	RICK	1.48%	\$12.77	\$7.53	0.59								3	
x	ROCM	-1.04%	\$12.80	\$7.59	0.59								3	good revenue growth and margins but cant convert it down to bottom line. Tell tale of weak management and competitive pressures as expenses are high in order to make sales.
x	DDE	1.40%	\$3.65	\$2.18	0.60								3	gambling company.
	MED	-1.70%	\$22.34	\$13.85	0.62	\$17.14	-19.2%	\$14.00	\$15.50	\$21.00	1.1%	10.6%	2	Good margins for a distributor. Lots of SG&A involved with business. Verify they are viable. Margin trend has been increasing 2009 and up. Increasing share count. Big increases in cash. Very healthy. Shareholders equity increased 10 yrs! FCF +ve from 2008-2009. Looks like a great turnaround. Increase in DPO and inventory turnover. However, there is no moat.
x	DRIV	-3.41%	\$26.51	\$16.44	0.62								3	Went from average of 12% Operating margin to 3.6%. Huge increase in LT debt. From \$8.8m to \$35.4m. Not much growth in shareholders equity since 2007. New acquisitions every year. Lots of intangibles.
x	TESS	-2.92%	\$21.79	\$13.61	0.62								3	1-2% net margin. Razor thin. Margins currently at the low range. If it increases slightly valuation can go up but is difficult with the business model. Debt is constantly increasing.
x	GMCR	4.88%	\$83.49	\$52.91	0.63	\$43.71	21.0%						3	Doesnt decrease. Capital structure doesn't look very good.
x	TKLC	-0.81%	\$16.95	\$10.99	0.65	\$11.00	-0.1%						3	Green mountain coffee roasters. Enough said. telecom network solutions company. No moat. EPV < Repr.
x	IVI	-4.31%	\$28.07	\$18.21	0.65								3	NCAV makes up 50%. Intrinsic value doesn't rise. Asset play at best. Doesnt look cheap in terms of metrics. P/FCF of 36, P/tangBV of 2.7 is not cheap. Margins are stable and look to be on the rise. Does work for government (?). According to spreadsheet, received a lot of income from "other cash flow". Need to see where this comes from in more detail. EPV = Repr. No moat.
	UEIC	-0.57%	\$24.28	\$15.81	0.65	\$17.28	-8.5%	\$4.61	\$9.76	\$17.48	0.0%	0.0%	2	Interesting potential. No moat OEM business. Large increase in net receivables and inventory but looks like sales picked up. Need to look at detailed inventory. New short term debt with no LT debt could mean that they had a big order and needed financing to make the order. Gross margin of 31.5%. EBIT of 5.5%
x	RENT	-2.32%	\$18.76	\$13.06	0.70								3	latest fiscal year shows increase in revenue and drop in COGS, but ended the year at a loss. Low moat business. Pass
x	LL	-5.99%	\$22.57	\$15.85	0.70								3	lumber liquidators, specialty store. FCF losses. Owner earnings would be the better way to analyze. Cash flow from other is quite high. inventory turnover and age of inventory keeps increasing.
x	AP	-4.07%	\$25.77	\$18.17	0.71								3	Erratic cash flow with slowing sales. Low ROE and CROIC. Nothing impressive.
	SUPX	-2.39%	\$25.65	\$18.35	0.72								2	No long term debt. Good margins for semiconductor equipment manufacturer. Constantly needs to update products to keep up to date with new processes. FCF matches owner earnings well which means the financial is very clean and easy to understand. 5yr metrics are worse than 10yr. Mostly likely due to rapid changes in industry. Difficult industry.
x	IART	-2.15%	\$39.60	\$29.60	0.75	\$37.70	-21.5%						3	Medical device company with 10 years of positive FCF, stable margins. However balance sheet is not as good as other medical device competitors. Has been taking on more debt. ROIC and CROIC average of 10 years if 9.6%. Not great but not bad. However based on the earnings power, company doesn't seem to have a moat. Looks like an average company that is fairly valued.
x	RIMG	0.09%	\$15.00	\$11.25	0.75	\$11.00	2.3%						3	Burning CD's is a dying industry. I remember reading somewhere that management is not shareholder friendly. Selling below tangible book value but very healthy balance sheet. SG&A has increased 8 out of 9 years. Net income decreasing. FCF is also volatile. Not a stable business. ROE,ROA,ROIC,CROIC all in mid single digits now. Has declined a lot. Won't be able to pay back the opportunity cost.
x	AIRT	-1.67%	\$10.89	\$8.25	0.76								3	Very cheap based on numbers. P/tangBV = 0.7 but no opportunity for growth. Largest company is fedex. Trading below NCAV. Value trap imo.
	NPK	-3.18%	\$117.69	\$90.81	0.77	\$89.78	1.1%						1	Accounting is very straight. Easy to understand. Business has greatly improved since 2006-2007. Getting towards high operating efficiency. Did well even in recession and current year. Good margins. Large increase in receivables and inventory from 2006-2007 looks to have been solved now. Solvent with no short term or long term debt. Retained earnings and shareholders equity has increased for 10 straight years. Annual dividend of 8%??? Valuation looks excellent.
x	KNX	-1.92%	\$18.50	\$14.32	0.77								3	Truck logistics company. Very erratic. Hard to value. Dependent on gas prices and economy. Pass.
x	HCSG	-2.97%	\$22.06	\$17.33	0.79	\$16.25	6.6%	\$6.80	\$9.80	\$13.70	0.0%	0.0%	3	EPV > 2x Repr means there is a possibility of a moat, but the current price seems too high. F score of 7 shows that company is healthy. FCF past 5 yr averages drastically lower than past 10 yr avg but other ratios have increased by 1% or so. P/FCF is very high. Intrinsic value looks much lower. Pass
x	NCI	-2.64%	\$13.01	\$10.31	0.79								3	Margins declined for 3 years. Due to recession, consulting business not good. Big drop on cash. Only \$0.4m left compared to \$49m in 2009. For consulting, liabilities makes up 47% of balance sheet. Days Payable Outstanding is 8. Very likely lead to liquidity issue.
	QLGC	-3.48%	\$17.82	\$14.15	0.79								2	Cyclical business but profitable. Growth is limited but it looks like the business is solid. Good balance sheet. 5yr ROE 15.3% and 10yr ROE is 16.7%. EPV is > 2.5x Net repr value which suggests that a moat does exist. Hard to predict earnings or fcf because it is erratic but worth another look.
	NATI	-3.99%	\$31.94	\$25.75	0.81								2	Use their products at work. No moat engineering software. Easily replaceable. EPV suggests the same thing. Dependent on corporate spending. Efficiency increasing leading to highest margins achieved in its history. Growth is slow. Looks mediocre.

x	PIKE	-1.76%	\$8.28	\$6.68	0.81	\$7.90	-15.4%													3	Currently in a decline. Business of providing energy solutions to utilities. Company financials is difficult to predict. Not overleveraged but can tell it is a bad business. Low and negative returns. No earnings predictability.
x	BMI	-4.15%	\$36.90	\$29.79	0.81	\$32.00	-6.9%													3	Very cyclical. No moat. Hard to see the value in this one. Nothing really stands out. Easy pass. ROE, ROA, ROIC, CROIC in constant decline. Company has a liquidity issue. Cash conversion cycle is bad for the balance sheet it has.
X	LDR	-3.16%	\$61.05	\$49.39	0.81															3	Company with a steady income statement. Nothing shouts out. Balance sheet does shout a few things. Doubling of intangible assets in 2008 which has increased vs depreciated. Debt to equity is on the high side.
x	ESE	-3.46%	\$32.99	\$26.79	0.81	\$30.00	-10.7%													3	Medical device with 10 years of history but only the last two years have been good. Lots of share dilution 5 years ago, with high intangible assets. ROE ROA and CROIC all in the low single digits. Management isn't effective.
x	ANGO	-3.31%	\$16.60	\$13.73	0.83															3	Solid and stable margins. Does not pay taxes? If they have NOL's how long will it last? Very healthy, no debt and ROE is averaging 22% in past 5 years. Growth looks to be coming down to the 13% range. FCF positive for past 10 years. Worth looking further into but expectations are too high and looks to be fairly priced now. However, based on absolute PE method, 13% growth + 4.75% dividend produces a fair PE of 20 to 22.
	VIVO	-3.25%	\$21.21	\$17.58	0.83															2	Cash flow is erratic, and CROIC is 0-1% since 2009. They can't perform in a recession margins have been squeezed considerably. No moat. Pass.
x	LUFK	-4.49%	\$77.64	\$65.34	0.84															3	top line growth but doesn't convert to bottom line. FCF very inconsistent. Large increase in long term debt. 1% CROIC.
x	EXAC	-1.87%	\$17.49	\$14.73	0.84															3	Ignoring 2009, margins have dropped nearly every year. Along with tight margins, this is a difficult business to run. Acquisitions every year. Pass.
x	PRFT	-3.27%	\$10.44	\$8.87	0.85															3	makes a lot of money but doesn't look like company will find it hard to earn more than the cost of capital. High fixed capex. EPV < Repr meaning the company does not utilize its assets. Issued a lot of debt recently.
x	ATNI	-2.72%	\$45.76	\$39.04	0.85															3	Business has been stable as it sells recession proof industrial products. Company is very healthy with no debt so it's a matter of deciding whether the returns are sufficient. 2.58% dividend is good and easily covered by FCF. 2007-08 saw unusually high capex. A red flag is that management bought back shares in 2007-2008 when stock price was at its highest. Not a good impression of management. This is confirmed by low ROE, ROA, CROIC. Stock is likely to trade sideways as management won't be able to maximise the business.
x	KDN	-1.31%	\$33.70	\$29.31	0.87	\$31.05	-5.6%	\$24.00	\$26.00	\$34.00	0.0%	0.0%								3	High capital intensive. Margins are good, but it doesn't convert to bottom line. Wild FCF. Not a fan of such companies.
x	NGS	-1.82%	\$15.47	\$13.48	0.87															3	For profit company but operates in a niche of military and public service communities. Increasing revenue for past 6 years and operating in the upper ranges of margins. No debt as it is an education company and numbers are clean and easy to read. Numbers scream quality but valuation is important. Reverse DCF @ 15% discount rate, 35% growth & \$15mm FCF is current market price. Currently priced like a growth stock. Fair value PE is about 20 which turns out to be current levels.
	APEI	-0.42%	\$40.72	\$35.85	0.88	\$36.85	-2.7%	\$24.00	\$29.00	\$41.00	0.0%	0.0%								2	Margins declined for 3 years. Doesn't seem like the company is capable of passing on cost to the customer. Net margin of 14% in 2007 to 8.4% in 2010 and expected to be lower this year is a big decline. Book value has been erratic. Efficiency ratios are great though and healthy. Intangibles is higher than I like at 20% of assets. EPV is 2.5x reproduction value, so by the numbers, there is likely a moat and efficiency ratios shows that there is potential.
	OMPI	-2.02%	\$10.97	\$9.69	0.88	\$9.70	-0.1%													2	Had a tough time in the recession but business looks like it is slowly recovering. Before the recession, returns were amazing at above 20%. Made an acquisition last year. Although FCF is positive, FCF growth is declining. If the company can return to pre-recession operations, this company is undervalued. If not, it is a value trap.
	HWCC	-3.25%	\$12.78	\$11.33	0.89	\$12.93	-12.4%													2	No moat biz with low margins but has been very stable. Intangibles has increased substantially along with debt. Makes acquisitions to grow and repurchases shares regularly without regard to stock price. Returns are average but valuations look good. EPV is less than net repro value and DCF shows it to be undervalued by about 30-40%. Reverse DCF using FCF of \$40m @ 15% discount rate and 3% growth rate. "What is it NOT worth?"
	CBZ	-1.55%	\$6.39	\$5.70	0.89	\$6.60	-13.6%													2	Made an acquisition within the year. Has paid off most of the debt. Cyclical but making money. However looks like a value trap as the growth and ROE, CROIC is not high enough to earn more than the cost of capital. No moat biz.
x	FSTR	-4.65%	\$29.66	\$27.07	0.91															3	P/FCF of 20. Inconsistent FCF. Low margin business model. Efficiency ratios are also inconsistent. Current ratio has improved. Looks like company should be able to survive a recession, but will see top and bottom line drop if there is another recession.
x	MTRX	-4.75%	\$10.05	\$9.22	0.92	\$11.00	-16.2%													3	Truck carrier company. Erratic and cyclical business. Better business models out there to invest in. Margins are excellent though. Gross margin avg of 65% over 10 years. Difficulty in business is seen by low single digit and sometimes negative CROIC. ROA and ROE is in the mid teens is good for a capital intensive business. Close to no debt. Impressive for a capital intensive business. Erratic FCF with consistent losses. Owner earnings show +ve values compared to FCF which means that the company requires lots of working capital. Differences between FCF and owner earnings is a sign of a leveraged company.
x	HTLD	-2.35%	\$14.46	\$13.30	0.92	\$13.66	-2.6%													3	Serves commercial market for air cons and replacement parts. Did well in recession but going down for 2 years. Looks like down cycle where cycle lasts for ~3years. Looks well managed. Repays debt, no LT debt, buys back shares. Not too sure about buying shares at high prices though.
x	NWPX	-0.04%	\$24.35	\$22.65	0.93	\$25.25	-10.3%													3	Written about BOLT many times. Cyclical company depended on oil prices. Times got hard when confronted with Gulf oil spill. Solid operating and profitable small cap company. Definitely has some form of competitive advantage in its industry. Growth is slow, even negative, at the moment, but operations is solid. If nothing happens for years, could continue to be labeled as a value trap.
	AAON	-3.65%	\$21.69	\$20.35	0.94															2	Seller of the UGG boots and other footwear. Has seen excellent growth throughout the year. The Apple of footwear. Inventory growth is on the aggressive side with TTM inventory growth increasing by 96% compared to 46% the prior fiscal year.
	BOLT	-1.83%	\$10.86	\$10.20	0.94	\$10.01	1.9%	\$10.00	\$14.00	\$16.00	0.0%	27.1%	1							2	Government contracts lead to high revenue and margins. Based on earnings power it looks like company has a big moat. New xray machine technologies being used in airports for security. Security is continually an issue so demand will likely remain. 10 years of shareholders equity growth. Bought back a lot of stock in 2008 when prices were low. Lots of "sale of assets" in investing activities. Paid dividend since 2008. 5 yr ROE is 14.6% and CROIC is 13.6%. Very healthy. Just doesn't look cheap enough.
	DECK	-1.95%	\$104.46	\$98.19	0.94	\$104.00	-5.6%													2	Travel company that provides chartered air services as well as operating a small fleet of planes. P/FCF of 14 is very high and all businesses related to airplanes is going to have high capex. Evident in FCF. Pass.
x	ALGT	-1.23%	\$52.91	\$49.98	0.94															3	Made money during recession and latest year has been huge. Operating at the upper range of margins. Share count increases slightly each year. Revenue, receivables and inventory growth are aligned so the big increase isn't a warning. Short term debt is high but has been reduced over the past 2 years. Capex has increased from \$50m in 2008 to \$90m in TTM which is causing intrinsic value to remain flat.
	DIOD	-3.40%	\$20.73	\$19.86	0.96	\$18.02	10.2%	\$13.00	\$14.40	\$20.41	0.0%	0.0%								2	Looks like a no moat business with limited growth. Nothing outstanding that catches my eye.
x	SSYS	-2.87%	\$29.05	\$28.13	0.97															3	Custom engineered products for electric control systems. Very inconsistent. Capex is relatively low but FCF is highly volatile. Paid back nearly all debt. Net margin is razor thin for a custom engineering business.
x	POWL	-2.28%	\$30.44	\$29.99	0.99	\$32.94	-9.0%	\$35.00	\$39.00	\$41.00	14.3%	23.1%	2							3	Producer of titanium mill products. Only reason for the positive FCF past couple of years is due to a big reduction in capex. Seriously inconsistent business.
x	RTI	-4.83%	\$24.89	\$24.81	1.00	\$28.79	-13.8%													3	Highly subject to cycles. Boring business but no moat. COGS has been decreasing since 2008 leading to higher margins. Seems to acquire businesses as growth is limited. ROE, ROA and CROIC was low during 2008 but has climbed back up. CROIC of 14% is very good.
	CCF	-0.24%	\$12.47	\$12.47	1.00	\$10.14	23.0%	\$10.00	\$11.28	\$12.86	0.0%	0.0%								2	Company has no cash on books? From the balance sheet alone, it looks like the company is run aggressively. Certain years where net receivables and inventory spikes are much too big. Recent acquisition and putting the assets on the books is causing the accounting to look funny. Altman and Beneish numbers agree. Better to wait more and see how it turns out.
x	IEC	-0.99%	\$5.78	\$5.84	1.01	\$5.92	-1.4%													3	Chocolate franchise company. Very clean, stable, healthy business. Good dividend but unlikely it will increase. Returns are fantastic but growth is close to non-existent. Will likely remain in business the way it is. Don't expect too much. Sell as soon as intrinsic value is met. Reverse DCF of 12% discount rate. 12% growth at \$3.3m FCF. Not very likely.
x	RMCF	-1.69%	\$8.55	\$8.72	1.02	\$8.55	2.0%													3	Made money in recession and revenue growth is still impressive at 30% last year. Most likely due to an acquisition in 2009-2010. Increase in growth has led to inefficiency showing margin decrease. Net margin has taken a big drop. If company can get back up to upper range of margins, intrinsic value will be much higher. Share count has increased. Warning is that revenue grew 30% but receivables grew 70% in 2010. Even with 15% discount rate and 10% growth off conservative FCF, valuation is compelling. 5 year CROIC is 12% and 5 year FCF/Sales is 13%. Cash converting cow. NCAV of \$16.7 and NNWC of \$14.5. Meaning business is being priced for about \$10.
V	CMTL	-2.06%	\$31.68	\$32.40	1.02	\$33.00	-1.8%													1	Decreasing SG&A is always a good sign but so has R&D. R&D expenditure of 20% from 2006 down to 11% in 2010. Interest income is about 4% of revenue. For a software company, net margin is extremely low. Big increase in intangibles and long term debt. Not well management.
x	JDAS	-1.50%	\$28.73	\$29.47	1.03	\$27.50	7.2%													3	

x	ABCO	-1.02%	\$32.69	\$68.11	2.08	\$68.80	-1.0%											3	3	Provides web based intelligence, management and advisory services to the health care and education industries. Revenue growth is impressive outside of recessionary environment but margin is slowly declining. SG&A has gone up a lot. Receivables growth is too much. Pass. Holding company for Climate Control Business. Air cons and heaters are a boring business. Margins have increased dramatically compared to 5-6 years ago. Debt exists, but interest payments are manageable. Good thing that it doesn't pay much in dividends because FCF is very inconsistent. Average company though. I've seen better.	
x	LXU	-6.68%	\$14.45	\$30.85	2.13	\$30.85	0.0%											3	3	Software, and consulting services, spill prevention, containment and geotechnical monitoring. Balance sheet is healthy except for the receivables growth. Looks like company is making sales without collecting or giving generous terms in difficult periods. High working capital. Pays dividend but FCF can't cover it so they need to sell assets to build up cash position. BJ restaurants. High working capital, negative FCF are the main drawbacks. For the same quantitative data, better companies out there.	
x	CRR	-2.64%	\$64.02	\$140.96	2.20	\$141.17	-0.1%											3	3	Distributing maintenance, repair and operating (MRO) products, equipment and service to industrial customers. Earnings power lower than repro cost. Only recently FCF positive but still inconsistent. Not much capex required. However debt has to be issued and repaid each year. Not as pricey as some but the quality isn't high.	
x	BJRI	-2.54%	\$20.94	\$46.44	2.22	\$46.55	-0.2%											3	3	Supplier of automotive replacement parts. Another recession proof biz. Solid margins, with plenty of cash, no debt, good inventory management, growing BV, no change in intangibles. Very good company. Disappointment in CROIC. Much lower than ROE and ROIC because a certain amount of working capital is required for the business to run.	
x	DXPE	-5.41%	\$11.94	\$26.91	2.25	\$28.45	-5.4%	\$15.00	\$29.80	0.0%	9.7%							3	3	Auction marketplace for surplus and salvage assets. Boring yet interesting business. Earnings power definitely reflects that. Base EPV is \$21 vs \$9 for asset reproduction value. Not much growth in earnings or cash flow. More like a cyclical with limited growth. Would also expect commodity prices to have something to do with revenue. Returns on equity are too low for my liking. Being priced like a big growth company.	
V	DORM	-0.81%	\$16.34	\$37.73	2.31	\$38.00	-0.7%	\$19.00	\$26.00	\$30.00	0.0%	0.0%						3	1	Air medical emergency transport services. Inconsistent fundamentals. High working capital. Inconsistent FCF. ROE is high in the 18% range, but CROIC is negative most of the time. Not good. Cash flow valuations are impossible. Earnings valuation possible, but if cash flow is terrible, it doesn't show the whole picture of the company. EPV confirms this with low EPV and high repro value. Easy pass.	
x	LQDT	-3.55%	\$12.70	\$30.70	2.42	\$30.70	0.0%											3	3	Makes middleware and infrastructure software. Big margins as expected. Has reduced a lot of shares, good solid organic growth. Easily manageable debt. Lots of expensive acquisitions. Bought back a lot of the shares high prices + lots of acquisitions is a worry of whether they are good stewards of shareholder capital. FCF is still very positive, but coming from the acquisitions. Returns on equity is not as high as some other SW companies.	
x	AIRM	-0.91%	\$29.14	\$78.49	2.69	\$78.35	0.2%	\$71.78				0.0%							3		Sells marine and heavy duty off-highway power transmission equipment. Margins jumped by huge amounts from 2010. Margins look to be slightly above the normalized threshold. FCF is very lumpy. Not the best company so it's difficult to judge why the stock price went up so much without reading about business developments.
x	TIBX	-0.97%	\$9.59	\$27.47	2.86	\$27.30	0.6%	\$14.00	\$16.00	\$22.00	0.0%	0.0%						3	3	Supplier of products to the aerospace and defense industries. Stock price has been astronomical. Growth has slowed down to normal rates after recession and business operations have improved. Margins are at highs, SG&A has been reduced the past 3 years. Dilution and a good size of debt due to one acquisition. Interest payments have gone up. Cash flows difficult to measure. Very inconsistent. Business is recession proof though. Top line growth even during recession. Aerospace and defense industry is too dependent on government budget.	
x	TWIN	-5.54%	\$10.87	\$42.14	3.88	\$42.10	0.1%	\$22.00	\$24.00	\$35.00	0.0%	0.0%						3	3		
	ATRO	-0.15%	\$8.40	\$34.03	4.05	\$34.03	0.0%	\$18.00	\$22.00	\$26.50	0.0%	0.0%						3	2		